

Ecotoxicological effects of microplastics and adsorbed contaminants on aquatic organisms.

Dioses-Salinas, Diana Carolina, Pérez-Baca, Barnaby Licinio y De-la-Torre, Gabriel Enrique.

Cita:

Dioses-Salinas, Diana Carolina, Pérez-Baca, Barnaby Licinio y De-la-Torre, Gabriel Enrique (2019). *Ecotoxicological effects of microplastics and adsorbed contaminants on aquatic organisms*. *Manglar*, 16 (2), 173-182.

Dirección estable: <https://www.aacademica.org/gabriel.e.delatorre/12>

ARK: <https://n2t.net/ark:/13683/podQ/xxo>



Esta obra está bajo una licencia de Creative Commons.
Para ver una copia de esta licencia, visite
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/deed.es>.

Acta Académica es un proyecto académico sin fines de lucro enmarcado en la iniciativa de acceso abierto. Acta Académica fue creado para facilitar a investigadores de todo el mundo el compartir su producción académica. Para crear un perfil gratuitamente o acceder a otros trabajos visite: <https://www.aacademica.org>.

Ecotoxicological effects of microplastics and adsorbed contaminants on aquatic organisms

Efectos ecotoxicológicos de los microplásticos y contaminantes adsorbidos en organismos acuáticos

Diana Carolina Dioses-Salinas ; Barnaby Licinio Pérez-Baca ; Gabriel Enrique De-la-Torre* 

Abstract

Microplastic (< 5 mm) pollution have raised concern on behalf of the scientific community and the general public. Microplastic occurrence in aquatic environments and organisms have been well documented. However, it is in recent years that the ecotoxicological effects of microplastics have begun to be studied. The aim of the present study was to review, evaluate and discuss the current state of art regarding microplastic and related contaminants ecotoxicological effects in microalgae, crustaceans, molluscs and fish. The results of previous studies have proven growth inhibition and chlorophyll-a decrease in microalgae. Ingestion by small crustaceans and population reduction have been evidenced. Biomarkers in bivalves and fish have shown neurotoxic effects and oxidative stress, along with abnormal behavior. The current state of art lacks realistic parameters and microplastic concentrations to assess environmental pollution. The need for further research was discussed.

Keywords: ecotoxicology; microplastics; aquatic; marine; organisms.

Resumen

La contaminación por microplásticos (< 5 mm) ha generado preocupación por parte de la comunidad científica y el público en general. La presencia de microplásticos en ambientes y organismos acuáticos ha sido bien documentada. Sin embargo, es en los últimos años que los efectos ecotoxicológicos de los microplásticos han comenzado a estudiarse. El objetivo del presente estudio fue resumir, evaluar y discutir el estado del arte actual con respecto a los efectos ecotoxicológicos de los microplásticos y contaminantes relacionados en microalgas, crustáceos, moluscos y peces. Los resultados de estudios previos han demostrado la inhibición del crecimiento y la disminución de la clorofila-a en las microalgas. Se ha evidenciado la ingestión en pequeños crustáceos y la reducción de la población. Los biomarcadores en bivalvos y peces han mostrado efectos neurotóxicos y estrés oxidativo, junto con un comportamiento anormal. El estado del arte actual carece de parámetros y concentraciones de microplásticos realistas para evaluar la contaminación ambiental. Se discutió la necesidad de más investigación.

Palabras clave: ecotoxicología; microplásticos; acuático; marino; organismos.

Universidad San Ignacio de Loyola, Lima – Perú.

D. C. Dioses-Salinas  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3537-3854>

B.L. Pérez-Baca  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9331-7298>

G.E. De-la-Torre  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0268-2784>

*Corresponding author: Ddiosessalinas@gmail.com (D.C. Dioses-Salinas).

Recibido: 29-08-2019.

Aceptado: 05-11-2019.

Introduction

Plastics are lightweight, strong and durable synthetic organic polymers derived from petroleum (Andrady, 2011; Rios *et al.*, 2007). In 2016, the production of plastic products reached more than 355 million tons globally (PlasticsEurope, 2018). Avio *et al.* (2017) estimates that at least 10% of the annual production of plastic end up in the oceans. The impacts of plastic waste have been subject of research for a long time (Cole *et al.* 2011). Entanglement, ingestion and transportation of invasive non-native species adhered to plastic surfaces have been identified as the major impacts (Barnes, 2002; Derraik, 2002).

Microplastics are defined as small plastic particles, smaller than 5 mm in diameter (Andrady, 2017) and are divided in two categories. While microplastics commercially manufactured as small particles are called primary microplastics, the result of the breakdown and fragmentation of larger plastics (macroplastics) are known as secondary microplastics (Cole *et al.*, 2011; Piehl *et al.* 2018). Macroplastic breakdown occurs mainly due to mechanical and photolytic fragmentation and biological degradation (Browne *et al.*, 2007). Most microplastics are less dense than seawater and travel long distances by the ocean currents or wind (Maximenko *et al.*, 2012). However, some denser polymers or biofouled particles may sink and reach the sediment (Andrady, 2011; Kooi *et al.*, 2017). In recent studies microplastics have been found in the deep-sea sediments (Kanhai *et al.*, 2019), water column (Dai *et al.*, 2018), water surface (Ding *et al.*, 2019) and sandy beaches (Piñon-Colin *et al.*, 2018; Purca and Henostroza, 2017), evidencing microplastics have become ubiquitous in aquatic environments.

Due to their physical characteristics and ubiquity in the environment, microplastics are highly bioavailable to aquatic organisms. Microplastics have been reported in zooplankton (Sun *et al.*, 2018), molluscs (Naji *et al.*, 2018), birds (Provencher *et al.*,

2018), turtles (Duncan *et al.*, 2018), fish (Hossain *et al.* 2019; Zhu *et al.*, 2019), mammals (Lusher *et al.*, 2018) and other aquatic organisms (Mohsen *et al.*, 2019).

Besides the physiological effects, microplastic ingestion pose a chemical hazard due to adsorbed contaminants and plastic industrial additives (Gallo *et al.*, 2018). Heavy metals, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH), polychlorinated biphenyls (PCB), organochlorine pesticides (OCP), and pharmaceuticals are known to be adsorbed by microplastics in trace concentrations (Brennecke *et al.*, 2016; Camacho *et al.*, 2019; Li *et al.*, 2018a; Rochman *et al.*, 2014). Leaching industrials additives, such as polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs), lead heat stabilizers and phthalate plasticizers (Lithner *et al.*, 2011) exacerbate microplastic toxicity.

Trophic-level transfer of microplastics may result in commercial seafood contaminated with microplastics. Previous studies have evidenced the presence of microplastic in seafood from markets (Cho *et al.*, 2019; Li *et al.*, 2018b; Teng *et al.*, 2019), suggesting potential risks to human health through contaminated food consumption.

Risk assessments and bioassays of microplastics and related contaminants are needed to fully understand the effects on aquatic biota. Although some studies have suggested prerequisites, considerations and identified gaps in the ecotoxicological impact assessment of microplastics (Karami, 2017; Lambert *et al.*, 2017; Potthoff *et al.*, 2017), there still no standard protocol under reproducible laboratory conditions for this matter.

Considering the importance of ecotoxicological impact assessment knowledge regarding microplastics and adsorbed contaminants, the aim of the present study was to review, evaluate and discuss the current state of art regarding microplastic and related contaminants ecotoxicological effects in four types of organisms.

Microalgae

Microalgae are autotrophic organisms that play a fundamental role in the network of the marine ecosystem as a source of food for other animals (Demirbas, 2010). Microalgae are key to the proper functioning of aquatic ecosystems, as they transform large amounts of inorganic compounds into biomass (Ogburn, 2017). Most microalgae are found inhabiting pelagic areas, many of which are contaminated with microplastics (Casado *et al.*, 2013). Microalgae populations is affected, although it may minimally have a serious impact on the food chain and the global nitrogen cycles (Prata *et al.*, 2019; Bergman *et al.*, 2013), however, the toxicity effects on the part of microplastics, the results do not offer consensus. A review on the subject is needed to identify possible toxicity mechanisms, as well as to guide new consultations (Prata *et al.*, 2019).

Besseling *et al.* (2014) investigated the effects of nano-polystyrene (nano-PS), of ~70 nm in diameter, on the growth and production of chlorophyll a (Chl-a) of microalgae *Scenedesmus obliquus* in three 72-h bioassays. Concentrations are not specified. Results indicated significant growth inhibition in the 3 test sets performed (2-way ANOVA, significant plastic treatment, p-value = 0.013) and was proportional to nano-PS concentrations (1 g.L⁻¹ there were approximately 2.5 % growth inhibition), likewise, it was found that the production of Chl-a falls significantly in function of the increase in nano-PS concentration, however below 100 mg.L⁻¹ there is no reduced concentration of Chl-a, but is expected to occur in the long run.

Bergami *et al.* (2017) conducted a 72-h growth inhibition test exposing *Dunaliella tertiolecta* to PS nanoparticles (NP). Two tests with anionic carboxylated PS (PS-COOH, 40 nm) NP and cationic amino-modified PS (PS-NH₂) NP under six concentrations (0.5, 1, 5, 10, 25, and 50 µg.mL⁻¹). PS-COOH did not significantly affect the growth of *D. tertiolecta* (EC₅₀ = > 50 µg.mL⁻¹); however, they were absorbed and accumulated in microalgae cell surface, suggesting a possible trophic transfer from

prey to predator. On the other hand, PS-NH₂ caused a significant inhibition in algal growth (EC₅₀ = 12.87 µg.mL⁻¹).

Zhang *et al.* (2017) carried out a 96-h microalgae growth inhibition test using pristine pure polyvinyl chloride spherical powder (mPVC; ~1 µm) and bulk plastic cut in blocks (bPVC; 1 mm). Microalgae *Skeletonema costatum* was exposed to 1, 5, 10 and 50 mg.L⁻¹ of mPVC and 50, 500, 1000 and 2000 mg.L⁻¹ of bPVC. Growth inhibition ration (IR) was calculated and chlorophyll content and photosynthetic efficiency (ΦPSII) were determined. Algae-microplastic interaction was observed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM). It was found that mPVC did inhibit microalgae growth; the maximum rate of growth inhibition (IR) reached up to 39.7% after 96-h of exposure. However, bPVC did not significantly inhibit growth. High concentrations (50 mg.L⁻¹) of mPVC decreased chlorophyll content in 20% from 25-h to 96-h of exposure. Regarding ΦPSII, it decreased 5% under 5 mg.L⁻¹ at 1 and 24-h of exposure. For both chlorophyll content and ΦPSII, higher concentrations caused significant effects. SEM images evidenced the formation of mPVC aggregations, mPVC adsorption by *S. costatum* and physical damage due to algae-mPVC interaction.

Contrary to most studies, Canniff and Hoang (2018) found a growth enhancement of algae *Raphidocelis subcapitata* when exposed to polyethylene (PE) microbeads (63 – 75 µm). It is suggested microbeads could serve as a substrate for *R. subcapitata* growth.

The ingestion of microplastics in different species of freshwater and marine microalgae has been reported and demonstrated. It was found that microplastics negatively affect and could pose a threat to microalgae in terms of population stability, growth, chlorophyll content and photosynthetic efficiency. Likewise, microalgae may server microplastic bioaccumulators, thus representing a threat to organisms to higher trophic levels after ingestion.

Crustaceans

As zooplankton are basic primary consumers of the aquatic food chain, they have an essential role in the marine ecosystem (**Chatterjee and Sharma, 2019**). They are an important source of food for secondary producers, like commercially important fish and cetaceans (**Botterell *et al.*, 2019**). Their exposure to microplastic ingestion is due to feeding behavior, as they predominately feed in surface waters where microplastics are abundant (**Cózar *et al.*, 2014**).

Several studies have investigated microplastic ingestion by zooplankton and evaluated ecotoxicological effects. **Jeong *et al.* (2017)** exposed copepod *Paracyclops nana* to nanosized (0.05 μm) and microsized (0.5 μm and 6 μm) PS microbeads and evaluated ingestion, egestion, growth rate and fecundity. *P. nana* ingested the three different sizes of microbeads, although 6 μm microbeads were egested and disappeared after 24-h post-ingestion observations. *P. nana* exposed to 0.05 μm microbeads developed a delay and reduced fecundity, while those exposed to 0.5 μm microbeads delayed molting without a significant retardation.

Coppock *et al.* (2019) investigated feeding selectivity and faecal density in copepod *Calanus helgolandicus* exposed to nylon fibers and fragments, low-density polyethylene (LDPE) and high-density polyethylene terephthalate (HDPET). Results indicated a decrease in ingestion of chain-forming and unicellular algae that were similar to nylon fibers and fragments respectively. Faeces containing LDPE sank significantly slower than control, while sinking rates increased in faeces containing HDPET.

Bosker *et al.* (2019) conducted an assay investigating the impact of PS (1 – 5 μm) on a population of cladoceran *Daphnia magna*. Populations exposed to 10^5 MP.mL⁻¹ were reduced significantly, representing 21% in reduction of the total biomass. On the contrary, **Canniff and Hoang (2018)** reported no significant effect on survival and reproduction although *D. magna* had

ingested PE microbeads (63 – 75 μm). The effects of microbeads in *D. magna* may be conditioned by the particle size. Further research regarding behavioral effects by **De Felice *et al.* (2019)**, showed an increased swimming activity in terms of distance moved and velocity in *D. magna* after a 21 days' exposure to 1 and 10 μm PS microplastics.

Importantly, trophic transfer along the planktonic food web has been also investigated. **Setälä *et al.* (2014)** fed mysid shrimps with zooplankton that had ingested PS microbeads. Three hours after incubation, microscopy of the mysid intestines showed the presence of zooplankton prey and microbeads, thus showing a potential microbead transfer between planktonic organisms from a trophic level to a higher level.

Zhang *et al.* (2019) investigated the single and combined effects of 1 μm and 10 μm PS particles and roxithromycin (ROX) on *D. magna*. The EC₅₀-48-h of 1 μm and 10 μm particles were 66.97 mg.L⁻¹ and 199.94 mg.L⁻¹ respectively, while 20.28 mg.L⁻¹ for ROX. Co-exposure to 1 μm PS and ROX decreased the responses of glutathione peroxidase (GPx) and malondialdehyde (MDA) compared to ROX alone, while co-exposure to 10 μm PS decreased glutathione S-transferase (GST) and MDA responses.

Larger crustaceans have also been subject of ecotoxicological research. **Watts *et al.* (2015)** reported a significant reduction in energy available for growth and food consumption after exposing *Carcinus maenas* to polypropylene rope microfibers (1 – 5 mm) for four weeks.

Microplastic ingestion by crustacean species have been reported. Indeed, microplastics could pose a threat to crustaceans in terms of population stability, reproduction and growth depending on the type, size and concentration of exposure. Sublethal effects of enzymatic biomarkers activities indicate oxidative stress. Lastly, crustaceans may be subject to changes in swimming and feeding behavior.

Molluscs

Molluscs are ecologically and commercially important aquatic and terrestrial macro-invertebrates. Due to their feeding ecology, molluscs are susceptible to microplastic ingestion. They include a large number of filter-feeding organisms (**de Sá *et al.*, 2018**), like most bivalves, and marine grazers, such as most gastropods and polyplacophorans.

The majority of studies assessing microplastic ecotoxicological effects in molluscs have focused in bivalves. **Rist *et al.* (2016)** exposed the Asian green mussel (*Perna viridis*) to polyvinylchloride (PVC) particles (1 – 50 µm) for 91 days in two 2-hour-time-periods per day. Results indicate a survival decline with increasing concentrations of PVC. However, the concentrations used (0 mg.L⁻¹, 21.6 mg.L⁻¹, 216 mg.L⁻¹ and 2160 mg.L⁻¹) exceed the pollution levels of microplastic in most coastal ecosystems by far.

Avio *et al.* (2015) investigated the adsorption of pyrene by microplastics (PE and PS) and its tissue localization, cellular effects and gene expression profile in mussel *Mytilus galloprovincialis* after a 7-day exposure. Microplastics and pyrene bioaccumulate in the haemolymph, gills and digestive tissues. Alterations of immunological responses, lysosomal compartment, peroxisomal proliferation, antioxidant system, neurotoxic effects and start of genotoxicity was observed. Microplastic exposure caused alterations in gene expression profile. Another research (**Capolupo *et al.*, 2018**) evidenced microplastic (PS) uptake by *M. galloprovincialis* in larval stages. Similar transcriptional effects were identified. Despite this, no significant increase in macroscopical abnormalities were noted in *M. galloprovincialis* embryos, suggesting a normal larval development.

Van Cauwenberghe *et al.* (2015) reported an increase in energy consumption by *Mytilus edulis* exposed to PS (110 MP.mL⁻¹), although it was not reflected in the energy reserves of the exposed mussel.

Oliveira *et al.* (2018) exposed *Corbicula fluminea* to microplastics (unknown compo-

sition; 0.13 mg.L⁻¹), mercury (30 µg.L⁻¹) and co-exposure (same concentrations) treatments in an 8 days and 14 days bioassays, followed by 6 day post-exposure recovery in a clean medium. Bioconcentration factors were smaller in the co-exposure treatment bivalves than in the mercury only treatment, thus microplastics may reduce mercury concentration when mixed. Results also indicate antagonism between microplastics and mercury in post-exposure filtration rate (FR), cholinesterase enzymes activity (ChE), GST activity and levels of lipid peroxidation (LPO). Bivalves exposed to any of the treatments showed a significant decrease in FR and LPO. Exposure to microplastics alone caused a significant reduction of the adductor muscle ChE activity. Lastly, the 6-day post-exposure recovery deemed not sufficient to completely reverse the toxic effects induced by the treatments nor to fully eliminate the mercury from the organisms' body.

Gastropod *Littorina littorea* have been found to ingest microplastic contaminated seaweed in laboratory experiments (**Gutow *et al.*, 2016**). However, most microplastics were released with the faeces. Further research by **Gutow *et al.* (2019)** indicated that gastropod pedal mucus retains suspended microplastics, thus promoting uptake by other organisms.

Bivalves have been studied more than any other mollusc due to the filter-feeding behavior, which enables them to breath more microplastics than other species (**Setälä *et al.*, 2016**). Microplastic exposure in extremely high concentrations significantly compromise the survival of certain bivalves. Biomarkers have shown possible oxidative damage and neurotoxicity. Little is known regarding the ecotoxicological effects of microplastics with adsorbed contaminants. The mixture of microplastics and other bioavailable contaminants should be further researched to determine synergism or antagonism to a survival and molecular level.

Fish

Due to their interactions in the food chain, also to its significance for human consumption (**Barboza *et al.*, 2018**), fish have vital importance in the functionality of the marine ecosystem. Nevertheless, they are exposed to contaminants and microplastic ingestion, bioaccumulation, and biomagnification (**Rochman *et al.*, 2013**).

A variety of studies have investigated the interaction between microplastics and fish, evaluating the ecotoxicological effects and endpoints. **Lei *et al.* (2018)** exposed freshwater fish *Danio rerio* to common types of microplastics: polyamides (PA), PE, polypropylene (PP), PVC and PS particles; survival rates and histopathological changes were evaluated. A group of sixteen *D. rerio* were exposed to four concentration of each microplastic type in suspension (0.001, 0.01, 0.1, 1.0 and 10.0 mg.L⁻¹) diluted in dechlorinated water. Then, 15 fish were selected randomly in each group of a single concentration (1 mg.L⁻¹) for histopathological analysis. The results prove the non-lethal significance of microplastic effects on *D. rerio*, in spite of this result, the investigation shows histological alterations on the intestine of this species.

Planktonic organisms are often confused with microplastics by organisms from higher trophic levels. **Ory *et al.* (2018)** exposed *Seriellella violacea* to microplastics in different color groups (black, blue, translucent and yellow) to determine whether color influenced microplastic ingestion. *S. violacea* specimens were put in tanks filled by fresh seawater and fed with food pellets mixed with microplastics (in 1/5 ratio). Results showed black microplastics to be the most ingested particles. It was also found that microplastics are more common to remain a long period of time in the digestive tract, meaning they are not easily egested compared to fish food pellets.

Microplastic exposure into marine biota is also subject to non-lethal effects and behavior alterations. **Qiang and Cheng (2019)** studied the effects of microplastics (468-508 nm PS microspheres) on embryos and larval *D. rerio*. The embryos of *D. rerio* were exposed to microplastics (100 and

1000 µg.L⁻¹) starting from 4 hours post-fertilization, the analysis shows that microplastics first adhered to the embryo chorion and then entered the digestive tract. In spite of the analysis, the results indicated that microplastics do not have significant effects on the growth of *D. rerio* embryos. On the other hand, the study also analyses the effect of microplastics on the swimming competency of larval *D. rerio*. A significant decrease in swimming and speed, as a consequence of the inflammation and oxidative stress-related to genes, expressed at the molecular level was evidenced.

Mak *et al.* (2019) studied the effects of PE microplastics in five size ranges (10-22 µm, 45-53 µm, 90-106 µm, 212-250 µm, and 500-600 µm) at 2 mg.L⁻¹ (treatment A) and a second set of three size ranges and colors (45-53 µm [blue], 90-106 µm [green], and 212-250 µm [clear]) in a high (1100 MP.L⁻¹), medium (110 MP.L⁻¹) and low (11 MP.L⁻¹) concentrations (treatment B) on 4 month old *D. rerio*. Ingestion, interaction with the aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AHR), the disruption of the oogenesis process and neurotoxicity were assessed. Microplastic exposure was carried out through their feed for a 96-h period, following visual inspection of the fish organs and gene expression analysis. No deaths were identified and no morphological differences in the liver. Abnormal behavior, like erratic movement, seizures, and tail bending, were exhibited in medium to high concentration tanks. *D. rerio* intestine *cyp1a* expression showed upregulations when exposed to medium concentrations of microplastics, while liver *vtg1* expression showed upregulations under medium and high concentrations. In addition, the authors proposed that sickness behaviors may be caused by acute exposure to microplastics as a hypothesis to further investigations.

Fish are among the most studied species. In general terms, microplastics have not shown lethal effects over fish. Common effects are abnormal behavior or slight morphological changes. Biomarkers have proven neurotoxicity, oxidative stress and oogenesis process disruption.

Conclusions and further research

The amount of microplastics in aquatic environments have raised concern regarding their effects on aquatic biota. Microplastics and adsorbed contaminants exposure to aquatic organisms is an undeniable fact that could threaten the survival of some species. Several studies from recent years have investigated the effects of microplastics on aquatic organisms, assessing survival, growth, behavior and biomarkers.

We have identified three major issues regarding the current state of art. First, studies have investigated *in vitro* microplastic effects with unrealistic concentrations. Many treatment concentrations surpass by far that of the test organism's natural environment.

Consequently, giving results that are less likely to apply in a real scenario. Second, laboratory studies tend to choose PP, PE, PS or PVC as the microplastic contaminant, although fibres are the most common microplastic type found in aquatic environments. And third, very few studies have assessed microplastic-adsorbed contaminant effects in co-exposure bioassays. Additionally, as microplastics have been proven to scale from prey to predator through ingestion (**Welden *et al.*, 2018**), it would be recommended to further investigate the effects and biomagnification on higher trophic level organisms after ingestion of contaminated natural prey. Further research should consider

References

- Andrady, A.L. 2011. Microplastics in the marine environment. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 62(8): 1596-1605.
- Andrady, A.L. 2017. The plastic in microplastics: A review. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 119(1): 12-22.
- Avio, C.G.; Gorbi, S.; Milan, M.; Bennedetti, M.; Fattorini, D.; d'Errico, G.; Pauletto, M.; Bargelloni, L.; Regoli, F. 2015. Pollutants bioavailability and toxicological risk from microplastics to marine mussels. *Environmental Pollution* 198: 211-222.
- Avio, C.G.; Gorbi, S.; Regoli, F. 2017. Plastics and microplastics in the oceans: From emerging pollutants to emerged threat. *Marine Environmental Research* 128: 2-11.
- Barboza, L.G.A.; Vethaak, A.D.; Lavorante, B.R.B.O.; Lundebye, A.; Guilhermino, L. 2018. Marine microplastic debris: An emerging issue for food security, food safety and human health. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 133: 336-348.
- Barnes, D.K.A. 2002. Invasions by marine life on plastic debris. *Nature* 416: 808-809.
- Bergami, E.; Pugnali, S.; Vannuccini, M.L.; Manfra, L.; Faleri, C.; Savorelli, F.; Dawson, K.A.; Corsi, I. 2017. Long-term toxicity of surface-charged polystyrene nanoplastics to marine planktonic species *Dunaliella tertiolecta* and *Artemia franciscana*. *Aquatic toxicology* 189: 159-169.
- Bergman, B.; Sandh, G.; Lin, S.; Larsson, J.; Carpenter, E.J. 2013. *Trichodesmium* widespread marine cyanobacterium with unusual nitrogen fixation properties. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews* 37(3): 286-302.
- Besseling, E.; Wang, B.; Lürling, M.; Koelmans, A.A. 2014. Nonplastic affects growth of *S. obliquus* and reproduction of *D. magna*. *Environmental Science & Technology* 48(20): 12336-12343.
- Botterell, Z.L.R.; Beaumont, N.; Dorrington, T.; Steinke, M.; Thompson, R.C.; Lindeque, P.K. 2019. Bioavailability and effects of microplastics on marine zooplankton: A review. *Environmental Pollution* 245: 98-110.
- Bosker, T.; Olthof, G.; Vijver, M.G.; Baas, J.; Barmantlo, S.H. 2019. Significant decline of *Daphnia magna* population biomass due to microplastic exposure. *Environmental Pollution* 250: 669-675.
- Brennecke, D.; Duarte, B.; Paiva, F.; Caçador, I.; Canning-Clode, J. 2016. Microplastics as vector for heavy metal contamination from the marine environment. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 178: 189-195.
- Browne, M.A.; Galloway, T.; Thompson, R. 2007. Microplastic-an emerging contaminant of potential concern? *Integrated Environmental Assessment and Management* 3(4): 559-561.
- Camacho, M.; Herrera, A.; Gómez, M.; Acosta-Dacal, A.; Martínez, I.; Henríquez-Hernández, L.A.; Luzardo, O.P. 2019. Organic pollutants in marine plastic debris from Canary Islands beaches. *Science of the Total Environment* 662: 22-31.
- Canniff, P.M.; Hoang, T.C. 2018. Microplastic ingestion by *Daphnia magna* and its enhancement on algal growth. *Science of the Total Environment* 633: 500-507.
- Capolupo, M.; Franzellitti, S.; Valbonesi, P.; Lanzas, C.S.; Fabbri, E. 2018. Uptake and transcriptional effects of polystyrene micro-

- plastics in larval stages of the Mediterranean mussel *Mytilus galloprovincialis*. *Environmental Pollution* 241: 1038-1047.
- Casado, M.P.; Macken, A.; Byrne, H.J. 2013. Ecotoxicological assessment of silica and polystyrene nanoparticles assessed by a multitrophic test battery. *Environment International* 51: 97-105.
- Chatterjee, S.; Sharma, S. 2019. Microplastics in our oceans and marine health. *Field Actions Science Reports* 19: 54-61.
- Cho, Y.; Shim, W.J.; Jang, M.; Han, G.M.; Hong, S.H. 2019. Abundance and characteristics of microplastics in market bivalves from South Korea. *Environmental Pollution* 245: 1107-1116.
- Cole, M.; Lindeque, P.; Halsband, C.; Galloway, T.S. 2011. Microplastics as contaminants in the marine environment: A review. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 62(12): 2588-2597.
- Coppock, R.L.; Galloway, T.S.; Cole, M.; Fileman, E.S.; Queirós, A.M.; Lindeque, P.K. 2019. Microplastics alter feeding selectivity and faecal density in the copepod, *Calanus helgolandicus*. *Science of the Total Environment* 687: 780-789.
- Cózar, A.; Echevarría, F.; González-Gordillo, J.I.; Irigoien, X.; Úbeda, B.; Hernández-León, S.; Palma, A.T.; Navarro, S.; García-de-Lomas, J.; Ruiz, A.; Fernández-de-Puelles, M.L. 2014. Plastic debris in the open ocean. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 111(28): 10239-10244.
- Dai, Z.; Zhang, H.; Zhou, Q.; Tian, Y.; Chen, T.; Tu, C.; Fu, C.; Luo, Y. 2018. Occurrence of microplastics in the water column and sediment in an inland sea affected by intensive anthropogenic activities. *Environmental Pollution* 242: 1557-1565.
- De Felice, B.; Sabatini, V.; Antenucci, S.; Gattoni, G.; Santo, N.; Bacchetta, R.; Ortenzi, M.A.; Parolini, M. 2019. Polystyrene microplastics ingestion induced behavioral effects to the cladoceran *Daphnia magna*. *Chemosphere* 231: 423-431.
- De Sá, L.C.; Oliveira, M.; Ribeiro, F.; Rocha, T.L.; Futter, M.N. 2018. Studies of the effects of microplastics on aquatic organisms: What do we know and where should we focus our efforts in the future? *Science of The Total Environment* 645: 1029-1039.
- Demirbas, A. 2010. Use of algae as biofuel sources. *Energy Conversion and Management* 51(12): 2738-2749.
- Derraik, J.G.B. 2002. The pollution of the marine environment by plastic debris: A review. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 44(9): 842-852.
- Ding, L.; Mao, R.F.; Guo, X.; Yang, X.; Zhang, Q.; Yang, C. 2019. Microplastics in surface waters and sediments of the Wei River, in the northwest of China. *Science of The Total Environment* 667: 427-434.
- Duncan, E.M.; Broderick, A.C.; Fuller, W.J.; Galloway, T.S.; Godfrey, M.H.; Hamann, M.; Limpus, C.J.; Lindeque, P.K.; Mayes, A.G.; Omeyer, L.C.M.; Santillo, D.; Snape, R.T.E.; Godley, B.J. 2018. Microplastic ingestion ubiquitous in marine turtles. *Global Change Biology* 25(2): 744-752.
- Gallo, F.; Fossi, C.; Weber, R.; Santillo, D.; Sousa, J.; Ingram, I.; Nadal, A.; Romano, D. 2018. Marine litter plastics and microplastics and their toxic chemicals components: the need for urgent preventive measures. *Environmental Sciences Europe* 30: 13.
- Gutow, L.; Bartl, K.; Saborowski, R.; Beermann, J. 2019. Gastropod pedal mucus retains microplastics and promotes the uptake of particles by marine periwinkles. *Environmental Pollution* 246: 688-696.
- Gutow, L.; Eckerlebe, A.; Giménez, L.; Saborowski, R. 2016. Experimental evaluation of seaweeds as a vector for microplastics into marine food webs. *Environmental Science & Technology* 50(2): 915-923.
- Hossain, M.S.; Sobhan, F.; Uddin, M.N.; Sharifuzzaman, S.M.; Chowdhury, S.R.; Sarker, S.; Chowdhury, M.S.N. 2019. Microplastics in fishes from the Northern Bay of Bengal. *Science of The Total Environment* 690: 821-830.
- Jeong, C.B.; Kang, H.M.; Lee, M.C.; Kim, D.H.; Han, J.; Hwang, D.S.; Souissi, S.; Lee, S.J.; Shin, K.H.; Park, H.G.; Lee, J.S. 2017. Adverse effects of microplastics and oxidative stress-induced MAPK/ Nrf2 pathway-mediated defense mechanisms in the marine copepod *Paracyclopina nana*. *Scientific Reports* 7: 41323.
- Kanhai, L.K.; Johansson, C.; Frias, J.P.G.L.; Gardfeldt, K.; Thompson, R.C.; O'Connor, I. 2019. Deep sea sediments of the Arctic Central Basin: A potential sink for microplastics. *Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers* 145: 137-142.
- Karami, A. 2017. Gaps in aquatic toxicological studies of microplastics. *Chemosphere* 184: 841-848.
- Kooi, M.; van Nes, E.H.; Scheffer, M.; Koelmans, A.A. 2017. Ups and downs in the ocean: Effects of biofouling on vertical transport of microplastics. *Environmental Science & Technology* 51(14): 7963-7971.
- Lambert, S.; Scherer, C.; Wagner, M. 2017. Ecotoxicity testing of microplastics: Considering the heterogeneity of physicochemical properties. *Integrated Environmental Assessment and Management* 13(3): 470-475.

- Lei, L.; Wu, S.; Lu, S.; Liu, M.; Song, Y.; Fu, Z.; Shi, H.; Raley-Susman, K.M.; He, D. 2018. Microplastic particles cause intestinal damage and other adverse effects in zebrafish *Danio rerio* and nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Science of The Total Environment* 619-629: 1-8.
- Li, J.; Green, C.; Reynolds, A.; Shi, H.; Rotchell, J.M. 2018. Microplastics in mussels sampled from coastal waters and supermarkets in the United Kingdom. *Environmental Pollution* 241: 35-44.
- Li, J.; Zhang, K.; Zhang, H. 2018. Adsorption of antibiotics on microplastics. *Environmental Pollution* 237: 460-467.
- Lithner, D.; Larsson, A.; Dave, G. 2011. Environmental and health hazard ranking and assessment of plastic polymers based on chemical composition. *Science of The Total Environment* 409(18): 3309-3324.
- Lusher, A.L.; Hernandez-Milian, G.; Berrow, S.; Rogan, E.; O'Connor, I. 2018. Incidence of marine debris in cetaceans stranded and bycaught in Ireland: Recent findings and a review of historical knowledge. *Environmental Pollution* 232: 467-476.
- Mak, C.W.; Ching-Fong, Y.K.; Chan, K.M. 2019. Acute toxic effects of polyethylene microplastic on adult zebrafish. *Ecotoxicology and environmental safety* 182: 109442.
- Maximenko, N.; Hafner, J.; Niiler, P. 2012. Pathways of marine debris derived from trajectories of Lagrangian drifters. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 65(1-3): 51-62.
- Mohsen, M.; Wang, Q.; Zhang, L.; Sun, L.; Lin, C.; Yang, H. 2019. Microplastic ingestion by the farmed sea cucumber *Apostichopus japonicus* in China. *Environmental Pollution* 245: 1071-1078.
- Naji, A.; Nuri, M.; Vethaak, A.D. 2018. Microplastics contamination in molluscs from the northern part of the Persian Gulf. *Environmental Pollution* 235: 113-120.
- Ogburn, Z.L.; Vogt, F. 2017. Microalgae as embedded environmental monitors. *Analytica Chimica Acta* 954: 1-13.
- Oliveira, P.; Barboza, L.G.A.; Branco, V.; Figueiredo, N.; Carvalho, C.; Guilhermino, L. 2018. Effects of microplastics and mercury in the freshwater bivalve *Corbicula fluminea* (Müller, 1774): Filtration rate, biochemical biomarkers and mercury bioconcentration. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety* 164: 155-163.
- Ory, N.C.; Gallardo, C.; Lenz, M.; Thiel, M. 2018. Capture, swallowing, and egestion of microplastics by a planktivorous juvenile fish. *Environmental Pollution* 240: 566-573.
- Piehl, S.; Leibner, A.; Löder, M.G.J.; Dris, R.; Bogner, C.; Laforsch, C. 2018. Identification and quantification of macro and microplastics on an agricultural farmland. *Scientific Reports* 8: 17950.
- Piñon-Colin, T. de J.; Rodriguez-Jimenez, R.; Pastrana-Corral, M.A.; Rogel-Hernandez, E.; Wakida, F.T. 2018. Microplastics on sandy beaches of the Baja California Peninsula, Mexico. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 131: 63-71.
- PlasticsEurope. 2018. *Plastics – the Facts 2017: An analysis of European plastic production, demand and waste data*. PlasticsEurope, Brussels.
- Potthoff, A.; Oelschlägel, K.; Schmitt-Jansen, M.; Rummel, C.D.; Kühnel, D. 2017. From the sea to the laboratory: Characterization of microplastic as prerequisite for the assessment of ecotoxicological impact. *Integrated Environmental Assessment and Management* 13(3): 500-504.
- Prata, J.C.; da Costa, J.P.; Lopes, I.; Duarte, A.C.; Rocha-Santos, T. 2019. Effects of microplastics on microalgae populations: A critical review. *Science of The Total Environment* 665: 400-405.
- Provencher, J.F.; Vermaire, J.C.; Avery-Gomm, S.; Braune, B.M.; Mallory, M.L. 2018. Garbage in guano? Microplastic debris found in faecal precursors of seabirds known to ingest plastics. *Science of The Total Environment* 644: 1477-1484.
- Purca, S.; Henostroza, A. 2017. Presencia de microplásticos em cuatro playas arenosas de Perú. *Revista Peruana de Biología* 24(1): 101-106.
- Qiang, L.; Cheng, J. 2019. Exposure to microplastics decreases swimming competence in larval zebrafish (*Danio rerio*). *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety* 176: 226-233.
- Rios, L.M.; Moore, C.; Jones, P.R. 2007. Persistent organic pollutants carried by synthetic polymers in the ocean environment. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 54(8): 1230-1237.
- Rist, S.E.; Assidqi, K.; Zamani, N.P.; Appel, D.; Perschke, M.; Huhn, M.; Lenz, M. 2016. Suspended micro-sized PVC particles impair the performance and decrease survival in the Asian green mussel *Perna viridis*. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 111(1-2): 213-220.
- Rochman, C.M.; Hoh, E.; Kurobe, T.; Teh, S.J. 2013. Ingested plastic transfers hazardous chemicals to fish and induces hepatic stress. *Scientific Reports* 3: 3263.
- Rochman, C.M.; Kurobe, T.; Flores, I.; Teh, S.J. 2014. Early warning signs of endocrine disruption in adult fish from the ingestion of polyethylene with and without sorbed chemical pollutants from the marine environment. *Science of The Total Environment* 493: 656-661.

- Setälä, O.; Fleming-Lehtinen, V.; Lehtiniemi, M. 2014. Ingestion and transfer of microplastics in the planktonic food web. *Environmental Pollution* 185: 77-83.
- Setälä, O.; Norkko, J.; Lehtiniemi, M. 2016. Feeding type affects microplastic ingestion in a coastal invertebrate community. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 102(1): 95-101.
- Sun, X.; Liu, T.; Zhu, M.; Liang, J.; Zhao, Y.; Zhang, B. 2018. Retention and characteristics of microplastics in natural zooplankton taxa from the East China Sea. *Science of The Total Environment* 604-641: 232-242.
- Teng, J.; Wang, Q.; Ran, W.; Wu, D.; Liu, Y.; Sun, S.; Liu, H.; Cao, R.; Zhao, J. 2019. Microplastic in cultured oysters from different coastal areas of China. *Science of The Total Environment* 653: 1282-1292.
- Van Cauwenberghe, L.; Claessens, M.; Vandegheuchte, M.B.; Janssen, C.R. 2015. Microplastics are taken up by mussels (*Mytilus edulis*) and lugworms (*Arenicola marina*) living in natural habitats. *Environmental Pollution* 199: 10-17.
- Watts, A.J.; Urbina, M.A.; Corr, S.; Lewis, C.; Galloway, T.S. 2015. Ingestion of plastic microfibers by the crab *Carcinus maenas* and its effect on food consumption and energy balance. *Environmental Science & Technology* 49(24): 14597-14604.
- Welden, N.A.; Abylkhani, B.; Howarth, L.M. 2018. The effects of trophic transfer and environmental factors on microplastic uptake by plaice, *Pleuronectes platessa*, and spider crab, *Maja squinado*. *Environmental Pollution* 239: 351-358.
- Zhang, C.; Chen, X.; Wang, J.; Tan, L. 2017. Toxic effects of microplastic on marine microalgae *Skeletonema costatum*: Interactions between microplastic and algae. *Environmental Pollution* 220: 1282-1288.
- Zhang, P.; Yan, Z.; Lu, G.; Ji, Y. 2019. Single and combined effects of microplastics and roxithromycin on *Daphnia magna*. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 26(17): 17010-17020.
- Zhu, L.; Wang, H.; Chen, B.; Sun, X.; Qu, K.; Xia, B. 2019. Microplastic ingestion in deep-sea fish from the South China Sea. *Science of The Total Environment* 677: 493-501.