

X Congreso Internacional de Investigación y Práctica Profesional en Psicología  
XXV Jornadas de Investigación XIV Encuentro de Investigadores en Psicología  
del MERCOSUR. Facultad de Psicología - Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos  
Aires, 2018.

# **Relaciones entre cognición y pragmática: una revisión de estudios empíricos.**

Zambrano, Renato.

Cita:

Zambrano, Renato (2018). *Relaciones entre cognición y pragmática: una revisión de estudios empíricos. X Congreso Internacional de Investigación y Práctica Profesional en Psicología XXV Jornadas de Investigación XIV Encuentro de Investigadores en Psicología del MERCOSUR. Facultad de Psicología - Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires.*

Dirección estable: <https://www.aacademica.org/000-122/330>

ARK: <https://n2t.net/ark:/13683/ewym/Sy9>

# RELACIONES ENTRE COGNICIÓN Y PRAGMÁTICA: UNA REVISIÓN DE ESTUDIOS EMPÍRICOS

Zambrano, Renato

Universidad Cooperativa de Colombia. Colombia

## RESUMEN

La pragmática tiene como objetivo explicar el fenómeno del uso contextual del lenguaje, especialmente los significados interpersonales determinados contextualmente (Leech, 2014, p. 30), también es la interacción de los aspectos contextuales y lingüísticos (Noveck y Sperber, 2004), sin embargo, yendo a los fundamentos, no se conoce exactamente qué explica la pragmática; este estudio se propone rastrear las evidencias cognitivas que están asociadas a la pragmática. Este estudio se realizó bajo el diseño de revisión sistemática de la literatura (Moher et al., 2015) de artículos entre los años 2004-2017. Los principales hallazgos muestran una relación entre pragmática y teoría de la mente, en especial, la capacidad de comprender creencias del otro; también aparecen relacionadas la emocionalidad y la intencionalidad, así como procesos de función ejecutiva, atención y memoria, esto, sin embargo, solamente se ha estudiado en relaciones directas entre comprensión de ironías, creencias o mentiras, con tareas de comprensión de creencias o emociones, así como desempeño en tareas cognitivas específicas, en donde no existen relaciones detalladas entre los aspectos de atención, memoria, intencionalidad, emocionalidad, pragmática, entre otros. Sin embargo, se discute y propone un modelo de relaciones entre cognición y pragmática en tres niveles de relación: aspectos cognitivos, metacognitivos y pragmáticos.

## Palabras clave

Cognición - Teoría de la mente - Pragmática - Metacognición

## ABSTRACT

### RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN COGNITION AND PRAGMATICS: A REVIEW OF EMPIRICAL STUDIES

Pragmatics aims to explain the phenomena of the contextual use of language, especially contextually determined interpersonal meanings (Leech, 2014, p.30), it is also the interaction of contextual and linguistic aspects (Noveck and Sperber, 2004), however , going to the foundations, it is not known exactly what the pragmatic explains; This study aims to trace the cognitive evidences that are associated with pragmatics. This study was carried out under the design of a systematic review of the literature (Moher et al., 2015) of articles between the years 2004-2017. The main findings show a relationship between pragmatics and theory of mind, especially the ability to understand beliefs of the other; emotionality and intentionality are also related, as well as processes of executive function, attention and memory, this, however, has only been studied in direct relationships between understanding of ironies, beliefs or lies, with tasks of understanding beliefs or emotions, as well as performance in specific cognitive tasks, where there are no

detailed relationships between the aspects of attention, memory, intentionality, emotionality, pragmatics, among others. However, a model of relations between cognition and pragmatics is proposed and discussed in three levels of relationship: cognitive, metacognitive and pragmatic aspects.

## Keywords

Cognition - Theory of mind - Pragmatics - Metacognition

## BIBLIOGRAFÍA

- Bosco, F., Bucciarelli, M. & Bara, B. (2004). The fundamental context categories in understanding communicative intention. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 36(X), 467-488.
- Bosco, F., Bono, A. & Bara, B. (2012). Recognition and repair of communicative failures: the interaction between Theory of Mind and cognitive complexity in schizophrenic patients. *Journal of Communication Disorders*, 45, 181-197.
- Braber, N. (2006). Emotional and emotive language: modal particles and tags in unified Berlin. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 38(10), 1487-1503.
- Caillies, S., Bertot, V., Motte, J., Raynaud, C. & Abely, M. (2014). Social cognition in ADHD: Irony understanding and recursive theory of mind. *Research in Developmental Disabilities*, 35, 3191-3198.
- Caillies, S., Hody, A. & Calmus, A. (2012). Theory of mind and irony comprehension in children with cerebral palsy. *Research in Developmental Disabilities*, 33, 1380-1388.
- Champagne-Lavau, M. & Joanette, Y. (2009). Pragmatics, theory of mind and executive functions after a right-hemisphere lesion: different patterns of deficits. *Journal of Neurolinguistics*, 22, 413-426.
- Channon, S., Pellijeff, A. & Rule, A. (2005). Social cognition after head injury: Sarcasm and theory of mind. *Brain and Language*, 93, 123-134.
- Declercq, C. & Le Ny, J.F. (2008). Verb-patient co-occurrences in Internet texts and verb meaning in memory. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 40(X), 630-645.
- Dressler, W., Stark, H., Vassilakou, M., ... (2004). Textpragmatic impairments of figure-ground distinction in right-brain damaged stroke patients compared with aphasics and healthy controls. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 36(X), 207-235.
- Enfield, N. (2009). Relationship thinking and human pragmatics. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 41(X), 60-78.
- Gavilán, J. & García-Albea, J. (2011). Theory of mind and language comprehension in schizophrenia: poor mindreading affects figurative language comprehension beyond intelligence deficits. *Journal of Neurolinguistics*, 24, 54-69.
- Gundel, J. & Johnson, K. (2013). Children's use of referring expressions in spontaneous discourse: implications for theory of mind development. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 56(X), 43-57.
- Holtgraves, T. (2005). The production and perception of implicit performatives. *Journal of pragmatics*, 37(X), 2024-2043.
- Kidwell, M. & Zimmerman, D. (2007). Joint attention as action. *Journal of pragmatics*, 39(X), 592-611.

- Martin, I. & McDonald, S. (2003). Weak coherence, no theory of mind, or executive dysfunction? Solving the puzzle of pragmatic language disorders. *Brain and Language*, 85, 451-466.
- Moher, D. Shamseer, L. Clarke, M... (2015). Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Systematic Reviews*, 4(1), doi:10.1186/2046-4053-4-1
- Monetta, L., Grindod, C. & Pell, M. (2009). Irony comprehension and theory of mind deficits in patients with Parkinson's disease. *Cortex*, 45, 972-981.
- Noveck, I. & Sperber, D. (2004). *Experimental pragmatics*. Great Britain: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Ono, H. (2004). On the semantic difference between the do-form and the doing-form in perception verb complements: form the viewpoint of "perception" and "cognition". *Journal of pragmatics*, 36(x), 407-439.
- Roth, W. (2004). Perceptual gestalts in workplace communication. *Journal of pragmatics*, 36(x), 1037-1069.
- Rudanko, J. (2006). Aggravated impoliteness and two types of speaker intention in an episode in Shakespeare's *Timon of Athens*. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 38(x), 829-841.
- Weed, E., McGregor, W., Nielsen, J., Roepstorff, A & Frith, U. (2010). Theory of mind in adults with right hemisphere damage: what's the story? *Brain and Language*, 113, 65-72.