

# **Efectos de la necesidad de cierre cognitivo en los estilos decisarios.**

Recart, Emilio, Passero, Manuel y Jaume, Luis Carlos.

Cita:

Recart, Emilio, Passero, Manuel y Jaume, Luis Carlos (2021). *Efectos de la necesidad de cierre cognitivo en los estilos decisarios. XIII Congreso Internacional de Investigación y Práctica Profesional en Psicología. XXVIII Jornadas de Investigación. XVII Encuentro de Investigadores en Psicología del MERCOSUR. III Encuentro de Investigación de Terapia Ocupacional. III Encuentro de Musicoterapia. Facultad de Psicología - Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires.*

Dirección estable: <https://www.aacademica.org/000-012/928>

ARK: <https://n2t.net/ark:/13683/even/uoh>

# EFEKTOS DE LA NECESIDAD DE CIERRE COGNITIVO EN LOS ESTILOS DECISORIOS

Recart, Emilio; Passero, Manuel; Jaume, Luis Carlos

Universidad de Buenos Aires. Facultad de Psicología. Buenos Aires, Argentina.

## RESUMEN

La necesidad de cierre cognitivo alude a un proceso dinámico que describe la motivación subyacente epistémica de sujetos en situaciones de incertidumbre que buscan alcanzar un umbral de información a fin de eliminar el malestar de la ambigüedad. La NCC se describe como un elemento que influye en la manipulación de la información, pudiendo distorsionar el contenido informativo y afectar en las decisiones que se realicen. Por otro lado, los estilos decisoriales describen patrones cognitivos que realizan los sujetos al momento de decidir. Estos patrones cognitivos se basan en estrategias de codificación, almacenamiento, procesamiento y elección de la información. El presente trabajo de investigación intentó indagar acerca de la relación existente entre la Necesidad de Cierre cognitivo en los estilos decisoriales en una muestra de 378 sujetos residentes en la República de Argentina. Se espera que los sujetos con mayor cierre cognitivo tendrían estilos decisoriales intuitivos y evitativos. No obstante, los resultados extraídos del estudio contradicen la hipótesis presentada, mostrando correlaciones poco contundentes entre ambos constructos. Se sugiere para futuras investigaciones, un mayor control experimental para el desarrollo del estudio.

## Palabras clave

Cierre cognitivo - Estilos decisoriales - Teoría epistémica laica - Cognición social

## ABSTRACT

### EFFECTS OF THE NEED FOR COGNITIVE CLOSURE ON DECISION-MAKING STYLES

The need for cognitive closure refers to a dynamic process that describes the underlying epistemic motivation of subjects in situations of uncertainty who seek to reach an information threshold in order to eliminate the discomfort of ambiguity. The NCC is described as an element that influences the manipulation of information, being able to distort the informative content and affect the decisions that are made. On the other hand, decision-making styles describe cognitive patterns that subjects carry out when deciding. These cognitive patterns are based on strategies for coding, storing, processing and choosing information. This research work tried to inquire about the relationship between the Need for Cognitive Closure in decision-making styles in a sample of 378 subjects residing in the Republic of Argentina. It is expected that the subjects with greater cogni-

tive closure would have intuitive and avoidant decision-making styles. However, the results obtained from the study contradict the hypothesis presented, showing weak correlations between both constructs. It is suggested for future research, a greater experimental control for the development of the study.

## Keywords

Cognitive closure - Decision making styles - Epistemic theory - Social cognition

## BIBLIOGRAFÍA

- Bell, D. E., Raiffa, H., & Tversky, A. (Eds.). (1988). *Decision making: Descriptive, normative, and prescriptive interactions*. cambridge university Press.
- Binmore, K. G. (1994). *Game theory and the social contract: just playing* (Vol. 2). MIT press.
- Chirumbolo, A., Livi, S., Mannetti, L., Pierro, A., & Kruglanski, A. W. (2004). Effects of need for closure on creativity in small group interactions. *European Journal of Personality*, 18(4), 265-278. <https://doi.org/10.1002%2Fper.518>
- Davis, C., Patte, K., Tweed, S., & Curtis, C. (2007). Personality traits associated with decision-making deficits. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 42(2), 279-290. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2006.07.006>
- Epstein, S. (1994). Integration of the cognitive and the psychodynamic unconscious. *American psychologist*, 49(8), 709. <https://doi/10.1037/0003-066X.49.8.709>
- Evans, J. S. B. (2017). Spot the difference: distinguishing between two kinds of processing. *Mind & Society*, 11(1), 121-131. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11299-012-0104-2>
- Fishburn, P. C. (1989). Retrospective on the utility theory of von Neumann and Morgenstern. *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty*, 2(2), 127-157. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00056134>
- Higgins, E. T., King, G. A., & Mavin, G. H. (1982). Individual construct accessibility and subjective impressions and recall. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 43(1), 35. <https://doi/10.1037/0022-3514.43.1.35>
- Higgins, E. T., & Brendl, C. M. (1995). Accessibility and applicability: "Some" activation rules" influencing judgment. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 31(3), 218-243. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jesp.1995.1011>
- Horcajo, J., Díaz, D., Gendarillas, B., & Briñol, P. (2011). Adaptación al castellano del Test de Necesidad de Cierre Cognitivo. *Psicothema*, 23(4), 864-870.

- Hunt, R. G., Krzystofiak, F. J., Meindl, J. R., & Yousry, A. M. (1989). Cognitive style and decision making. *Organizational behavior and human decision processes*, 44(3), 436-453. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978\(89\)90018-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978(89)90018-6)
- Jasko, K., Czernatowicz-Kukuczka, A., Kossowska, M., & Czarna, A. Z. (2015). Individual differences in response to uncertainty and decision making: The role of behavioral inhibition system and need for closure. *Motivation and Emotion*, 39(4), 541-552. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11031-015-9478-x>
- Johnson, K., & White, M. (1982). The Cognitive Style of Information Professionals. *Journal of Education for Librarianship*, 22(3), 127-142. doi:10.2307/40322704
- Jost, J. T., Glaser, J., Kruglanski, A. W., & Sulloway, F. J. (2003). Political conservatism as motivated social cognition. *Psychological bulletin*, 129(3), 339. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.129.3.339>
- Kahneman, D., & Frederick, S. (2002). Representativeness revisited: Attribute substitution in intuitive judgment. *Heuristics and biases: The psychology of intuitive judgment*, 49, 81. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511808098.004>
- Keen, P. G., & McKenney, J. L. (1974). The implications of cognitive style for the implementation of analytic models.
- Kruglanski, A. W. (1980). Lay epistemo-logic—process and contents: Another look at attribution theory. *Psychological review*, 87(1), 70. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.87.1.70>
- Kruglanski, A. W., & Freund, T. (1983). The freezing and unfreezing of lay-inferences: Effects on impressional primacy, ethnic stereotyping, and numerical anchoring. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 19(5), 448-468. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1031\(83\)90022-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1031(83)90022-7)
- Kruglanski, A. W. (1990). Lay epistemic theory in social-cognitive psychology. *Psychological Inquiry*, 1(3), 181-197. [https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327965pli0103\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327965pli0103_1)
- Kruglanski, A. W., & Webster, D. M. (1991). Group members' reactions to opinion deviates and conformists at varying degrees of proximity to decision deadline and of environmental noise. *Journal of personality and social psychology*, 61(2), 212. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.61.2.212>
- Kruglanski, A. W., & Webster, D. M. (1996). Motivated closing of the mind: "seizing" and "freezing". *Psychological review*, 103(2), 263-283. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.103.2.263>
- Kruglanski, A. W., Raviv, A., Bar-Tal, D., Raviv, A., Sharvit, K., Ellis, S., ... & Mannetti, L. (2005). Says who? Epistemic authority effects in social judgment. *Advances in experimental social psychology*, 37, 345-392.
- Kruglanski, A. W., Dechesne, M., Orehek, E., & Pierro, A. (2009). Three decades of lay epistemics: The why, how, and who of knowledge formation. *European Review of Social Psychology*, 20(1), 146-191. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10463280902860037>
- Kruglanski, A. W., & Gigerenzer, G. (2011). Intuitive and deliberate judgments are based on common principles. *Psychological review*, 118(1), 97. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0020762>
- McCrae, R. R., Costa Jr, P. T., Ostendorf, F., Angleitner, A., Hrebícková, M., Avia, M. D., ... & Smith, P. B. (2000). Nature over nurture: temperament, personality, and life span development. *Journal of personality and social psychology*, 78(1), 173. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.78.1.173>
- Mayseless, O., & Kruglanski, A. W. (1987). What makes you so sure? Effects of epistemic motivations on judgmental confidence. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 39(2), 162-183. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978\(87\)90036-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978(87)90036-7)