Emotion regulation strategies in adulthood: a comparison of three age groups.

Andrés María Laura, Urquijo, Sebastián, Canet Juric Lorena y Comesaña, Ana.

Cita:

Andrés María Laura, Urquijo, Sebastián, Canet Juric Lorena y Comesaña, Ana (Julio, 2013). Emotion regulation strategies in adulthood: a comparison of three age groups. XXXIV Congreso Interamericano de Psicología, Sociedad Interamericana de Psicología. Sociedad Interamericana de Psicología - SIP, Brasilia.

Dirección estable: https://www.aacademica.org/sebastian.urquijo/97

ARK: https://n2t.net/ark:/13683/pfN5/Epy



Esta obra está bajo una licencia de Creative Commons. Para ver una copia de esta licencia, visite https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/deed.es.

Acta Académica es un proyecto académico sin fines de lucro enmarcado en la iniciativa de acceso abierto. Acta Académica fue creado para facilitar a investigadores de todo el mundo el compartir su producción académica. Para crear un perfil gratuitamente o acceder a otros trabajos visite: https://www.aacademica.org.



Research Center of Methodology, Education and Basic Processes





Emotion regulation strategies in adulthood: a comparison of three age groups

M.S. María Laura Andrés^{1, 2, 3}; PhD. Sebastián Urquijo^{1, 2, 3}; PhD. Lorena Canet Juric^{1, 2, 3}; PhD. Ana Comesaña^{1, 2, 3}

¹Research Center of Methodology, Education and Basic Processes (CIMEPB) ²National University of Mar del Plata (UNMdP) ³National Research Council of Argentina (CONICET) Mar del Plata – Buenos Aires – Argentina

Introduction

Emotional regulation is defined as the use of strategies to modify the intensity, duration and expression of emotions towards the fulfillment of individual goals (Gross, 1998; John y Gross, 2007; Thompson, 1994). The importance of studying this process throughout the lifespan development is that emotions and health of individuals. The hypothesis of emotional maturity associated with aging holds that people accumulate more lifetime wealth of experience and this gives them greater ability to control their emotional experience (Lawton, Kleban, Rajagopal, & Dean, 1992). In a similar way the socioemotional selectivity theory, argues that elderly people tend to privilege the optimization of emotional experience and the search for meaning (Carstensen, Fung, & Charles, 2003). The empirical evidence on numerous research supports these hypotheses. Indeed, it has been shown a decrease in the frequency of negative emotional biases toward gratifying emotional information and greater emotional control perceived. It has also shown increased use of "anticipatory" regulatory mechanisms such as cognitive reappraisal (CR) -known as the capacity to modify the meaning of events in order to reduce its negative emotional impact- and less use of strategies focused on behavioral aspects as suppression of emotional expression of emotion (Charles, Reynolds y Carstensen, 2001; Ryff, 1989 Mather y Carstensen, 2005; Lawton et al., 1992; Gross et al., 1997). The evidence on these differences associated with age, comes mostly from studies of North American samples, so we believe it would be interesting to explore these differences in a different population context; therefore the objetive of this work was to explore age-related differences in emotion strategies-specifically RC and SEE-in a sample of participants divided into three age groups, young adults, middle-aged and older. Hypothesis: The elderly group will present higher frequency of use of the CR and lower frequency of use of the SEE compared to the group of middle-aged adults and young adults group.

Methodology

Participants: This study is part of a larger study in which subjects were invited to participate voluntarily of Psychology of the National University of Mar del Plata (Argentina). For this study, we specially selected a subsample of 148 participants according to age group and educational level. And three groups were formed: the young adult group consists of 86 young people between 18 and 25 years old (34 women). The adult group comprised of 33 middle-aged adults between 40 and 60 years (26 women) and the elderly group consisting of 29 age between 65 and 80 years old (20 women). All participants had university-level education, in the case of the young adult group all of them were active college students, while most of the participants of the other groups most had finished their university studies (68%).

Materials and procedure: we first relieved demographic data relating to age, gender and highest level of educational attainment. Then we used the ERQ -Emotion Regulation Questionnaire- (Gross & John, 2003; translation and adaptation into Spanish of Rodriguez-Carvajal & Garrosa Moreno-Jimenez, 2006). It is a 10-item Likert scale that asks about the frequency of use of two emotion regulation strategies -RC and SEE-where response options ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 7 (strongly agree).

We present descriptive statistics for both strategies in each age group.

L	<i>Jescriptive</i>	statistics for	r the varia	bles under	r study in the i	three age groups

	Age group	Ν	Μ	SD	Min.	Max.
CR	Young adults	86	4,44	1,17	1,17	7,00
	Middle-age adults	33	5,10	1,10	2,00	7,00
	Elderly adults	29	4,80	1,30	1,00	6,83
SEE	Young adults	86	2,90	1,26	1,00	6,00
	Middle-age adults	33	3,12	1,16	1,00	6,00
	Elderly adults	29	3,83	1,33	1,00	7,00

The mean scores for the RC are higher in the group of middle-aged adults with respect to the group of young adults and older adults. In the case of the SEE, the average scores are progressively higher as the

Results

As shown in the above table, the groups differ significantly with respect to the CR are the young adult group and the group of middle-aged adults, in the case of the SEE are the group of young adults and the elderly group. We present two graphs below show the mean scores of each variable for each age group discriminating the gender of the participants.



groups are made by older participants. To explore the influence of the age factor on the frequency of use of both strategies a Factorial ANOVA was performed. It was introduced the gender as a control variable.

Factorial ANOVA results controlling gender.

Dependent Variable	Factor	F	Sig.	Eta ²
CR	Age	3,27	,04*	.04
	Gender	,01	,92	.00
SEE	Age	4,52	,03*	.08
	Gender	7,66	,001*	.17

As shown in the table above, age is a factor of significant influence on the frequency of use of both strategies, even when controlling for gender of participants. In the case of the SEE gender is a factor of influence on this variable. For knowing between which age groups are specifically located the significant differences were performed post-hoc contrasts using the Games-Howell test (Levene statistic F= 1.34, p=.24 for CR and Statistical Levene F= 0.45, p= .81 to SEE).

ANOVA results and Post-hoc comparisons between groups.

Note: 1= Young adults; 2= Middle-age adults and 3= Elderly adults

The graph shows that for CR there is a decrease in mean scores in the elderly group compared to the rest of the groups but how this decline is steeper in the case of men, and shows that for women, the decline is much more mild and moderate. In the case of SEE it can be seen how, although there are higher frequencies of use as the groups are constituted by older participants -male or female-, males use clearly SEE greater extent than women in the younger group and middle-aged adults.

Discussion

Theories of emotional optimization and emotional selectivity (Lawton, 2001; Cartensen, 1995) postulated that people have become progressively more able to regulate their emotions in a healthy way all along the life cycle, particularly in old age. Consistent with this idea, other studies have found that older adults (M = 61 years old) have higher frequency of use of CR -which has a healthier profile consequences- and lesser in SEE -which has a less healthy profile- than young people (M = 20 years old) (John & Gross, 2004). This has been interpreted as a sign that as individuals mature and gain life experience, learn to make better use of healthier emotion regulation strategies. Our results are partially in the same direction and therefore deserve some considerations. First, there was an increase in the use of the CR in the middle-age group compared to young adult group. However, we include a third group consisting of adults about 65-80 years old (M=71), in which we observed a decrease in the frequency of use of this strategy, which would contradict theories of emotional optimization and selectivity. Second, we observe an increase in the use of SEE in the elderly group, which also would contradict the basic tenets of these theories. In this sense, a study made in Spanish population in three age groups similar to ours conducted by Márquez-González, Fernández de Trocóniz, Montorio-Cerrato and Losada-Baltar (2008) also find surprisingly that elderly adults used more frequently SEE than other age groups. The authors explain these results in a sense that we believe adequate to explain also ours. They argue that these results could be explained considering the existence of greater social pressure to suppress emotional expression in older people and also that they could have been socialized in an environment with different rules of emotional expression of the expression of the emotions (Labouvie-Vief, DeVoe & Bulka, 1989). This high frequency of use of the SEE might be showing in fact, this trend of older people to underestimate their negative emotional states and this could interfere with the conduct of their emotional self-reports (Márquez-González et al., 2008); which has been previously observed by several investigators (Diener, Sandvich & Larsen, 1985). Finally, we must consider the great influence that contextual factors, history and culture have on human behavior (eg, Guarino, Feldman, & Roger, 2005). So these results obtained in the Spanish speaking population in ways different from those made with U.S. population could be a start in exploring the age-related differences for emotional management in different cultural contexts, which may be the challenge of future Hispanic research. John, O. & Gross, J. (2007) Individual Differences in Emotion Regulation. En J. Gross (Ed.) Handbook of emotion regulation. New York: The Guilford Press. References Labouvie-Vief G., DeVoe, M., y Bulka, D. (1989). Speaking about feelings: Conceptions of emotion across Carstensen, L. L. (1995). Evidence for a life-span theory of socioemotional selectivity. Current Directions in Psychological the life span. Psychology and Aging, 4, 425-437. Science, 4, 151–156. Lawton, M. P. (2001). Emotion later in life. Current Directions in Psychological Science, 10, 120–123. Carstensen, L. L., Fung, H. H., & Charles, S. T. (2003). Socioemotional selectivity theory and the regulation of emotion in the Lawton, M. P., Kleban, M. H., Rajagopal, D., & Dean, J. (1992). The dimensions of affective experience in second half of life. Motivation and Emotion, 27, 103-123. Charles, S.T., y Carstensen, L.L. (2007). Emotion regulation and aging. En J.J. Gross (Ed.): Handbook of Emotion Regulation. three age groups. *Psychology and Aging*, 7, 171–184. New York: Guilford Press. Márquez-González, M., Fernández de Trocóniz, M. I., Montorio-Cerrato, I., & Losada-Baltar, A. L. (2008). Diener, E., Sandvik, E., y Larsen, R.J. (1985). Age and sex differences for emotional intensity. *Developmental Psychology, 21*, Experiencia y Regulación Emocional a lo largo de la etapa adulta del ciclo vital: Análisis comparativo en tres 542-546. grupos de edad. *Psicothema*, 20(4), 616-622. Gross, J. (1998) Antecedent and response focused emotion regulation: Divergent consequences for experience, expression, and Mather, M., & Carstensen, L. L. (2005). Aging and motivated cognition: The positivity effect in attention and pshysiology. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 74, 224-237. Gross, J. & John, O. (2003). Individual differences in two emotional regulation process: Implications for affect, relation-ships, and memory. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 9, 496-502. well-being. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 85, 348-362. Rodríguez-Carvajal, R., Moreno-Jiménez, B., & Garrosa, E. (2006). Cuestionario de Regulación Gross, J., Carstensen, L.L., Pasupathi, M., Tsai, J., Götestam Skorpen, C., & Hsu, A. (1997). Emotion and aging: Experience, Emocional. Versión española. Autorizado por los autores de la versión original en Inglés (Gross y John, expression and control. Psychology and Aging, 12, 590-599. 2003). Madrid: Universidad Autónoma de Madrid. Guarino, L.R., Feldman, R., y Roger, D. (2005). La diferencia de la sensibilidad emocional entre británicos y venezolanos. Ryff, C. D. (1989). Happiness is everything, or is it? Explorations on the meaning of psychological well-Psicothema, 17,639-644. John, O. & Gross, J. (2004) Healthy and unhealthy emotion regulation: Personality processes, individual differences, and lifebeing. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 57, 1069–1081. span development. Journal of Personality, 72, 1301-1333. Thompson, R. A. (1994). Emotion regulation: A theme in search of definition. In N. A. Fox (Ed.), The development of emotion regulation and dysregulation: Biological and behavioral aspects. Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development, 59(2–3, Serial No. 240), 25–52.